

Mobility Beyond Borders

The contribution of Transparency of Qualifications and Credit Transfer in VET

Round table

TG 4 Seminar,
Bucharest 23.03.2007

Romania - NACPEVT
Irina Severin

1

Catherine Guy-Quint – European Parliament (FR)

Joao Delgado – European Commission, DG Education and Culture – Head of Unit B5 “Leonardo da Vinci”

Marianna D’Angelo – Ministry of Labour and Social Security (IT) - DG for Guidance and Training Policies

Philippe Perfetti – Permanent Association of French Chambers of Skilled Crafts (FR) - Deputy Director in charge of Education and LLL

Madlen Serban – National Centre for TVET Development/ Project Implementation Unit for PHARE – Director (RO)

Chair: Luminita Matei, Ministry of Education & Research (RO) – Director of International & European Relations Unit

Facilitator: Irina Severin, National Agency for Community Programmes in the Field of education & Vocational Training (RO)

TG 4 Seminar,
Bucharest 23.03.2007

Romania - NACPEVT
Irina Severin

2

Quality tools for mobility (I)

KEY ISSUES

- **Reinforce the assessment in a positive way as a process (taking into account ex-ante and ongoing evaluation aspects)**
 - **Peer review**
 - **Reflection**
 - **Self-assessment**
 - **Context-based evaluation**
 - **Cultural/national aspects**

Quality tools for mobility (II)

- **Objective criteria have to apply in relation with the selection of beneficiaries (in order to secure equal access and “fairness”)**
- **Complete involvement of all partners in objectives, definition and commitment – share of responsibility!**
- **Additional focus on multiplication / “cascade effect”**

Credit transfer in practice (I)

- **ECVET as useful tool to foster “mobility”**
- **Complex approach and many questions still to resolve (competent bodies, European standards for assessment, validation, relationship between formal and informal learning, etc.)**

Credit transfer in practice (II)

- **Matching different definitions of units within and between countries/sectors**
- **Similarities in approaching VET from National bodies compared to sectors?**

Validating non-formal & informal learning (I)

- **How can we establish better tools and more effective methodologies for validation? Could we have more concrete standards and criteria?**
- **How can we harmonize the terminology and methodology?**

Validating non-formal & informal learning (II)

- **Could we assure the balance between theory/ practices (good practices), ‘testing’ and ‘trusting’ in respect of national entities, cultures and traditions and European common principles?**

Validating non-formal & informal learning (III)

- **How can we share better our experiences?
How can we develop a real social dialogue at different level?**
- **How can we link the political issues and the real and concrete, ‘day by day’ challenges?**

Kind thanks for your attention !